Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,531	FRALEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BINTA M. ROBINSON	1625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) BINTA M. ROBINSON.	(3)	
(2) Nicole Beeler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02 September 2009</u> .		
Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 2.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f ⊠ was reached. g □ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Althorney Beeler agreed</u> to the <u>debelion of the phrase in claim 2 as detailed in the</u> <u>systaminer's amendment in order to convect the debendency of claim.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MEPE Section 7.104). If a reply to be last office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THARTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DIAMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE ALST TATEMENT OF THE BUSSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Binta M Robinson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)